

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,457 09/30/2003		09/30/2003	Russell D. Wilfert	H0005177	7545	
128	7590	06/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HONEYW	ELL INT	ERNATIONAL IN	FETSUGA, I	FETSUGA, ROBERT M		
101 COLUM		AD		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O BOX 2245				ARTONII	TALER NOMBER	
MORRISTO	WN, NJ	07962-2245	3751			
				DATE MAH ED 06/14/200	_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/676,457	WILFERT, RUSSELL D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Robert M. Fetsuga	3751		

Refere the Filing of an Anneal Priof									
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Robert M. Fetsuga	3751							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO									
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must l</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.						
AMENDMENTS									
3.   ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	PTE below);							
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.							
NOTE: scope of claims changed. (See 37 CFR 1.		•							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).						
5. $igsqcup$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	• ——								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	. 4 b . 6 4b d . 4 6 600	NI-41							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		:::: &!!							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance pecause:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	Am. J. J. Robert M. Fetsuga							
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3751							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)